

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/827,348	04/20/2004	Chan-bong Jun	277/038	2613	
7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER			
LEE & STERBA, P.C.			SUMMONS, BARBARA		
Suite 2000 1101 Wilson Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA	22209	2817			
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/827,348	JUN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Barbara Summons	2817

		Barbara Summons	2817	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REE	PLY FILED 21 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The this plac a R time	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the follow ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🔯	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been under 37 ( set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state of the sta	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	ecause
(a) (b) (c) (d)	<ul> <li>Proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 16 and 41.33(a)).	TE below); educing or simplifying jected claims.	the issues for
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant / anonomone	(1 1 0 2 0 2 1).
6. 🔲 Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
hov The Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is prosestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: 6-20. im(s) objected to: 3-5. im(s) rejected: 1 and 2. im(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	<u>/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
bed was	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. \$	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		only to botom or attack	
	ne request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther:			
	·	Barla	ia Surrin	2000

**BARBARA SUMMONS PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least the new feature of claim 1 as amended (i.e. the "piezoelectric layer formed directly on the membrane layer...") requires further search and/or consideration. It should be noted that although Jang et al. U.S. 2003/0193269 (cited by Applicants) was not applicable to the method claims (see paragraph 10 of the Office action dated 9/8/05), it appears to be applicable (see Fig. 4A) to apparatus claim 1 as amended. See also Fig. 5B of Sunwoo et al. U.S. 2002/0067106 (cited by Applicants).